

United States District Court

Eastern District of Missouri

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

LARON CRENSHAW

Case Number: 4:05 CR 414 CEJ

Defendant

In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. §3142(f) a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.

Part 1 - Findings of Fact

- ☐ (1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. §3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a (federal offense) (state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is
- ☐ a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. §3156(a)(4).
- ☐ an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death.
- ☐ an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in _____
- ☐ a felony that was committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. §3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses.
- ☐ (2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense.
- ☐ (3) A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the (date of conviction) (release of the defendant from imprisonment) for the offense described in finding (1).
- ☐ (4) Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an)other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.

Alternative Findings (A)

- ☐ (1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense
- ☐ for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in _____
- ☐ under 18 U.S.C. §924(c).
- ☐ (2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.

Alternative Findings (B)

- ☒ (1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.
- ☒ (2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.

The Report of the Pretrial Services Office is incorporated by reference as if fully set out herein.

Part II - Written Statement of Reasons for Detention

I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by

☒ a preponderance of the evidence that ☒ clear and convincing evidence that

defendant has convictions for Burglary 2nd Degree, Stealing, Tampering 1st Degree with Motor Vehicle, Unlawful Use of a Weapon and Interference with Commerce By Threats or Violence. He has 9 currently pending active warrants for traffic offenses. The potential penalty if he is revoked from Supervised Release could give him incentive to flee. He has no financial ties to this district. He has ties in a foreign country. He failed to follow directions of his probation officer. the nature of the offense that caused the SR warrant to be issued involved defendant's use of force and leading the police on a high speed chase. This arrest occurred only 7 months after his release from the BOP. He continued to associate with convicted felons that participated in the federal offense after he was released from the BOP. There are no conditions of combinations of conditions that will assure his appearance and the safety of the community.

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Dated: February 27, 2006

/s/ Mary Ann L. Medler

Signature of Judicial Officer

Mary Ann L. Medler U.S. Magistrate Judge

Name and Title of Judicial Officer

DEFENDANT: LARON CRENSHAW

CASE NUMBER: 4:05 CR 414 CEJ

Continued